

### Hornsea Project Four:

### Volume A5, Annex 5.2: Offshore Ornithology Displacement Analysis

Deadline 2, Date: 29 March 2022 Document reference: A.5.5.2 Revision 02

PreparedAPEM Ltd., March 2022CheckedGoBe Consultants Ltd., March 2022AcceptedHannah Towner-Roethe, Orsted. March 2022ApprovedJulian Carolan, Orsted. March 2022

A.5.5.2 Ver. B



Revision Summary								
Rev	Date	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by				
01	28/09/2021	APEM Ltd., September	GoBe Consultants Ltd.,	Julian Carolan, Orsted,				
		2021	September 2021	September 2021				
02	28/03/2022	APEM Ltd., March	GoBe Consultants Ltd., March	Julian Carolan, Orsted,				
		2022	2022	March 2022				

<b>Revision Cha</b>	nge Log		
Rev	Page	Section	Description
01	-	-	Submitted at Application
02	Throughout	Throughout	Update to document names in examination
02	9	1	Changes to Displacement Analysis since DCO Application
02	15	1	Update to abundance and density estimates of key bird
			species
02	16 - 17	2	Update on relative change in auk abundance
02	26 - 47	5, 6, 7	Update to guillemot, razorbill, and puffin displacement
			matrices
02	59 - 66	Appendix A	Update to guillemot displacement matrices using the mean
			peak abundances





### **Table of Contents**

1	Introdu	ction	7
	1.1	Project Background	7
	1.2	Displacement	7
	1.3	Species of Interest	8
	1.4	Changes to Displacement Analysis since DCO Application (APP-075)	9
	1.4.2	Displacement Buffers	9
	1.4.3	Red-throated Diver 'Benchmark' Approach	10
	1.4.4	Guillemot 'Weighted-Mean' Approach	10
	1.5	Data Limitations	11
	1.6	Presentation of Displacement for each Bio-Season	12
2	Relativ	e Change in Auk Abundance	15
3	Red-Th	roated Diver Displacement Matrices	17
4	Gannet	Displacement Matrices	19
5	Guillem	not Displacement Matrices	25
6	Razorbi	Il Displacement Matrices	29
7	Puffin D	Displacement Matrices	37
8	Referer	nces	41
App	oendix A: abunda	Guillemot displacement matrices using the mean peak	42

### List of Tables

Table 1: Bio-season colour coding	.12
Table 2: Bio-season mean peak abundance and density estimates of key bird species for Hornsea	I
Four disturbance and displacement assessment (all behaviours). Data highlighted in bold represer	nt
the bio-season with peak abundance for each species	.14
Table 3: Change in seasonal abundance for auk species when considering sitting only and all	
behaviours	.16
Table 4: Displacement matrix presenting the minimum number of red-throated divers in the ECC	
plus a 2 km buffer surrounding the cable laying vessel only, during the migration-free winter bio-	
season	.17



Table 5: Displacement matrix presenting the maximum number of red-throated divers in the	
offshore ECC plus a 2 km buffer only surrounding the cable laying vessel only, during the migration	n-
free winter bio-season.	18
Table 6: Displacement matrix presenting the number of gannets (all behaviours) in the array area	
only, during the return migration bio-season.	19
Table 7: Displacement matrix presenting the number of gannets (all behaviours) in the array area	
plus 2 km buffer, during the return migration bio-season	20
Table 8: Displacement matrix presenting the number of gannets (all behaviours) in the array area	
only, during the migration-free breeding bio-season	21
Table 9: Displacement matrix presenting the number of gannets (all behaviours) in the array area	
plus 2 km buffer, during the migration-free breeding bio-season	22
Table 10: Displacement matrix presenting the number of gannets (all behaviours) in the array area	ב
only, during the post-breeding migration bio-season	23
Table 11: Displacement matrix presenting the number of gannets (all behaviours) in the array area	ב
plus 2 km buffer, during the post-breeding migration bio-season	24
Table 12: Displacement matrix presenting the number of guillemots (all behaviours) in the array	
area only, during the breeding bio-season	25
Table 13: Displacement matrix presenting the number of guillemots (all behaviours) in the array	
area plus 2 km buffer, during the breeding bio-season	26
Table 14: Displacement matrix presenting the number of guillemots (all behaviours) in the array	
area only, during the non-breeding bio-season	27
Table 15: Displacement matrix presenting the number of guillemots (all behaviours) in the array	
area plus 2 km buffer, during the non-breeding bio-season	28
Table 16: Displacement matrix presenting the number of razorbills (all behaviours) in the array are	ea
only, during the return migration bio-season	29
Table 17: Displacement matrix presenting the number of razorbills (all behaviours) in the array are	e.
plus 2 km buffer, during the return migration bio-season	30
Table 18: Displacement matrix presenting the number of razorbills (all behaviours) in the array are	e.
only, during the migration-free breeding bio-season.	31
Table 19: Displacement matrix presenting the number of razorbills (all behaviours) in the array are	a:
plus 2 km buffer, during the migration-free breeding bio-season.	32
I able 20: Displacement matrix presenting the number of razorbills (all behaviours) in the array are	a:
only, during the post-breeding migration bio-season	33
I able 21: Displacement matrix presenting the number of razorbills (all behaviours) in the array are	a,
plus 2 km buffer, during the post-breeding migration bio-season.	34
Table 22: Displacement matrix presenting the number of razorbills (all behaviours) in the array are	a 25
only, during the migration-free winter bio-season.	35
Table 23: Displacement matrix presenting the number of razorbills (all behaviours) in the array are	a:
plus 2 km buffer, during the migration-free winter bio-season	36
Table 24: Displacement matrix presenting the number of puffins (all behaviours) in the array area	
only, during the breeding bio-season	37
able 25: Displacement matrix presenting the number of puffins (all behaviours) in the array area	70
plus 2 km putter, auring the preeding bio-season.	ుర
able 20: Displacement matrix presenting the number of puffins (all behaviours) in the array area	70
Only, auring the non-breeding bio-season.	39
able 27: Displacement matrix presenting the number of puttins (all benaviours) in the array area	40
plus 2 km butter, auring the non-breeding bio-season	40





### List of Figures

Figure 1: Monthly guillemot abundance within Hornsea Four across two-years of baseline survey	у.
Bio-seasons (breeding and non-breeding) are defined by Furness (2015)	11



### Glossary

Term	Definition
Bio-season	Bird behaviour and abundance is recognised to differ across a calendar year, with
	particular months recognised as being part of different seasons. The biologically
	defined minimum population scales (BDMPS) bio-seasons used in this report are
	based on those in Furness (2015), hereafter referred to as bio-seasons. Separate
	bio-seasons are recognised in this technical report in order to establish the level of
	importance any seabird species has within the study area during any particular period of time.
Development Consent	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for
Order (DCO)	one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIP).
Export cable corridor	The specific corridor of seabed (seaward of Mean High Water Springs (MHWS)) and
(ECC)	land (landward of MHWS) from the Hornsea Project Four array area to the Creyke
	Beck National Grid substation, within which the export cables will be located.
Hornsea Project Four	The term covers all elements of the project (i.e. both the offshore and onshore).
Offshore Wind Farm	Hornsea Four infrastructure will include offshore generating stations (wind
	turbines), electrical export cables to landfall, and connection to the electricity
	transmission network. Hereafter referred to as Hornsea Four.
Orsted Hornsea	The Applicant for the proposed Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm
Project Four Ltd.	Development Consent Order (DCO).
the Hornsea Four array	The proposed area for Hornsea Four within which the Wind Turbine Generators
area	(WTGs) would be installed (at PEIR stage).
SeaMaST	Seabird densities from the predicted density maps and the underlying dataset of
	the SeaMaST project (Seabird Mapping and Sensitivity Tool) described in Bradbury
	et al. (2014) was identified by Natural England, through the Evidence Plan Process
	(Technical Panel Meeting Three, 10.04.19), as the most appropriate data set for
	the purpose of estimating the density and abundances of red-throated divers
	within the ECC. The SeaMaST data were compiled from offshore boat and aerial
	observer surveys spanning the period 1979–2012.
Statutory Nature	Comprised of JNCC, Natural Resources Wales, Department of Agriculture,
Conservation Bodies	Environment and Rural Affairs/Northern Ireland Environment Agency, Natural
(SNCBs)	England and Scottish Natural Heritage, these agencies provide advice in relation
	to nature conservation to government.



### Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
AfL	Agreement for Lease
DCO	Development Consent Order
ECC	Export Cable Corridor
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ES	Environmental Statement
EP	Evidence Plan
OWF	Offshore Wind Farm
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report
RIAA	Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment
RSPB	Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
SeaMaST	Seabird Mapping and Sensitivity Tool
SNCB	Statutory Nature Conservation Body
SPA	Special Protection Area
WTG	Wind Turbine Generator

### Units

Unit	Definition
km	Kilometre (distance)
km²	Kilometre squared (area)

# Orsted

#### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Project Background

- 1.1.1.1 Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited, (hereafter 'the Applicant') is proposing to develop the Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm (hereafter 'Hornsea Four'). Hornsea Four is located approximately 65 km offshore from the coastline of the East Riding of Yorkshire in the Southern North Sea and will be the fourth project to be developed in the former Hornsea Zone. Hornsea Four will include both offshore and onshore infrastructure including an offshore generating station (wind farm), export cables to landfall, and connection to the electricity transmission network (please see Volume A1, Chapter 4: Project Description (REP1-004) for full details on the Project Design).
- 1.1.1.2 The Hornsea Four Agreement for Lease (AfL) area was 846 km<sup>2</sup> at the Scoping phase of project development. In the spirit of keeping with Hornsea Four's approach to Proportionate Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), the project has given due consideration to the size and location (within the existing AfL area) of the final project that is being taken forward to Development Consent Order (DCO) application. This consideration is captured internally as the "Developable Area Process", which includes Physical, Biological and Human constraints in refining the developable area, balancing consenting and commercial considerations with technical feasibility for construction.
- 1.1.1.3 The combination of Hornsea Four's Proportionality in EIA and Developable Area Process has resulted in a marked reduction in the array area taken forward at the point of DCO application. Hornsea Four adopted a major site reduction from the array area presented at Scoping (846 km<sup>2</sup>) to the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) boundary (600 km<sup>2</sup>), with a further reduction adopted for the Environmental Statement (ES) and DCO application (468 km<sup>2</sup>) due to the results of the PEIR, technical considerations and stakeholder feedback. The evolution of the Hornsea Four Order Limits is detailed in Volume A1, Chapter 3: Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives (APP-009) and Volume A4, Annex 3.2: Selection and Refinement of the Offshore Infrastructure (APP-037).
- 1.1.1.4 APEM Ltd (hereafter APEM) was commissioned by the Applicant to undertake a study of the offshore and intertidal ornithology that characterises the area that may be influenced by Hornsea Four. As part of this study, APEM was commissioned to undertake a displacement analysis on the ornithological receptors identified. This technical annex has been produced to support the ES for Volume A5, Chapter 5: Offshore and Intertidal Ornithology (APP-017).
- 1.1.1.5 The consideration of offshore and intertidal ornithology for Hornsea Four has been discussed with consultees through the Hornsea Four Evidence Plan (EP) process; specifically with the Offshore and Intertidal Ornithology Evidence Plan Technical Panel (hereafter EP Technical Panel) of which Natural England and the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB) are members. Agreements made with consultees within the EP process are set out in the topic specific EP Logs which are appended to the Hornsea Four EP (B1.1.1: Evidence Plan (APP-167)), an annex of the Hornsea Four Consultation Report (B1.1: Consultation Report (APP-129)). All agreements within the EP Logs have unique identifier codes which have been used throughout this document to signpost to the specific agreements made (e.g. OFF-ORN-2.1).

#### 1.2 Displacement

1.2.1.1 The presence of wind turbine generators (WTGs) has the potential to directly disturb and displace seabirds that would normally reside within and around the area of sea where Hornsea Four is proposed. This in effect represents indirect habitat loss, potentially reducing





the area available for those seabirds sensitive to disturbance to forage, loaf and / or moult in the way that they are currently able to within and around the Hornsea Four area. There is also the potential for the construction and decommissioning of WTGs, substations and cable laying to directly disturb and displace seabirds, though the nature of such potential impacts is more restricted spatially and temporally by virtue of the nature of those phases of the development.

#### 1.3 Species of Interest

- 1.3.1.1 Following consultation with Natural England and the RSPB through the EP process, the following five species were identified as the 'key' species for inclusion in this Hornsea Four disturbance and displacement assessment (OFF-ORN-2.10 & OFF-ORN-2.12, B1.1.1: Evidence Plan (APP-167)):
  - Red-throated diver (Gavia stellata);
  - Gannet (Morus bassanus);
  - Guillemot (Uria aalge);
  - Razorbill (Alca torda); and
  - Puffin (Fratacula arctica).
- 1.3.1.2 The data contributing to this annex are from 24 months of digital aerial video surveys (April 2016 to March 2018) of the Hornsea Four AfL plus a 4 km buffer. Data from these site-specific surveys are used for four species of interest; gannet, guillemot, razorbill and puffin. A different approach was adopted for red-throated diver, as outlined in Sections 1.4.2 and 1.4.3.



#### 1.4 Changes to Displacement Analysis since DCO Application (APP-075)

- 1.4.1.1 For displacement analysis of auk species undertaken at Application stage and presented within Volume A5, Annex 5.2: Offshore Ornithology Displacement Analysis (APP-075), the Applicant only included sitting auk species within displacement assessments. This decision was based on auks species' foraging behaviour being associated with being pursuit diving species, so those birds sitting on the water surface are highly likely to be associated with those areas they are recorded within. Such birds that are associated with sitting on the water surface may be subject to displacement if they are sensitive to any given activities. As birds in flight are not known to be associated with a fixed location and may simply be flying from one point to another without utiliing the area they fly over these birds are not affected by displacement. Such birds may be subject to a barrier effect if they are sensitive to any given activities within array areas. The Applicant undertook a quantitative assessment of displacement using sitting birds only and a qualitative assessment of barrier effect using tracking data and modelled seabird distribution maps within A2.5 Environmental Statement Volume A2 Chapter 5 Offshore and Intertidal Ornithology (APP-017). Undertaking assessments for both displacement and barrier effects separately is recognised as being possible in the joint Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies interim advice note on displacement (SNCBs, 2017), where remote tracking of seabirds provides knowledge on seabird behaviour to provide further information on the relative impacts of both issues. However, despite the Applicant including such additional tracking and modelled seabird distribution data Natural England provided the following advice within their within their Relevant Representations (RR-029):
- 1.4.1.2 "SNCB advice is that all birds, regardless of behaviour (i.e. including both sitting and flying birds) should be included when calculating abundance estimates for all species. Birds in flight are using the area and may be subject to displacement impacts. Abundance estimates should be recalculated for all three auk species (guillemot, razorbill, and puffin) to include birds in flight. Abundance estimates for auk species also need to include species apportionment for unidentified auks and corrections for availability bias."
- 1.4.1.3 Following consideration of Natural England's Relevant Representation (**RR-029**), the Applicant has revised the displacement analysis for all three auk species to include all behaviours, with the results presented within this annex.

#### 1.4.2 Displacement Buffers

- 1.4.2.1 The main assessment on disturbance and displacement is found within Volume A2, Chapter 5: Offshore and Intertidal Ornithology (APP-017). The scale of the potential displacement applied in this report is in response to guidance in the literature (Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies (SNCBs) 2017), comments received from Natural England and the RSPB through the EP process and formal Section 42 responses.
- 1.4.2.2 Following the generic guidance (SNCBs 2017), and at the request of Natural England and the RPSB, this report presents displacement matrices that consider red-throated diver within a 2 km buffer surrounding a cable laying vessel in the offshore ECC. Red-throated diver are not considered for displacement within the Hornsea Four array area (or respective buffers), as this species was only recorded within the 4 km buffer of the array area in a single month (with a raw count of one and an abundance estimate of 10 individuals) during the 24 month baseline digital aerial survey programme (Annex 5.1: Offshore and Intertidal Ornithology Baseline Characterisation Report (APP-074)).



1.4.2.3 Following the same generic guidance (SNCBs 2017), and at the request of Natural England and the RSPB, this report also presents displacement matrices that consider gannet and the auk species (guillemot, razorbill and puffin) (OFF-ORN-2.10, B1.1.1: Evidence Plan (APP-167)). These matrices present abundances for gannet and auk species within the Hornsea Four array area as well as separately for the Hornsea Four array area plus a 2 km buffer, in response to Natural England's request in their Section 42 consultation response.

#### 1.4.3 Red-throated Diver 'Benchmark' Approach

- 1.4.3.1 The SeaMaST project described in Bradbury et al. (2014), identified by Natural England as the most appropriate dataset through the EP process (OFF-ORN-1.11, B1.1.1: Evidence Plan (APP-167)), was used to identify where red-throated divers may occur within the offshore ECC. As the SeaMaST data does not provide absolute densities of birds, a 'benchmark' approach, as agreed with Natural England (OFF-ORN-2.25, B1.1.1: Evidence Plan (APP-167)), using an alternate data source with known density and abundance estimates from datasets collected from further south in the Southern North Sea was undertaken. This provided suitable data and was used to produce a scaled density factor, which was applied to the relative densities of the offshore ECC.
- 1.4.3.2 The most suitable alternative data source for the benchmark approach was identified as that from the 2013 aerial digital surveys (APEM 2013) and described in Goodship et al. (2015), under contract to Natural England, of the Outer Thames Estuary Special Protection Area (SPA). The entire area of the Outer Thames Estuary SPA surveyed is covered by the SeaMaST datasets and was therefore suitable to acquire a scaled density factor. The upper and lower abundance estimate confidence intervals from the Outer Thames data were selected applied to create two scaling factors, to represent a range of density values for this species within the offshore ECC and 2 km buffer surrounding cable laying activities during the migration-free winter bio-season.

#### 1.4.4 Guillemot 'Weighted-Mean' Approach

- 1.4.4.1 When considering the abundance and density of seabird species within individual bioseasons, it has been common practice to calculate the mean peak from several years of data covering the same bio-season in each year (e.g. SNCBs 2017). This method accounts for any variation between years and between the months within the individual bio-season, typically covering a two to four-month period. This method provides a precautionary account of the abundance of birds potentially present within a given area.
- 1.4.4.2 Following advice received from Natural England on the Hornsea Four PEIR (OFF-ORN-2.2, B1.1.1: Evidence Plan (APP-167)), a much wider non-breeding bio-season has been considered for guillemot following Furness (2015), with the mean peak abundance estimate calculated across a seven-month period (Aug-Feb). This seven-month non-breeding period encapsulates a range of behaviours which may affect abundance and density of guillemot within Hornsea Four, including post-breeding dispersal (Table 1). Baseline survey data from Hornsea Four suggest that this post-breeding dispersal occurs within two of these seven months (Aug-Sep), with birds quickly passing out of the area as they migrate (Figure 1; Table 1). This relatively rapid movement away from breeding colonies has been observed at other North Sea breeding colonies (e.g. van Katwijk & Camphuysen; Dunn et al. 2020). The inclusion of these post-breeding season data inflate the mean peak estimate for the non-breeding bio-season beyond that which is representative for the remaining five months of the non-breeding bio-season, leading to over-precaution in assessing potential displacement.

# Orsted



Figure 1: Monthly guillemot abundance within Hornsea Four across two-years of baseline survey. Bio-seasons (breeding and non-breeding) are defined by Furness (2015).

- 1.4.4.3 In order to reduce this bias and to provide a more representative abundance and density estimate for the seven-month non-breeding bio-season, a weighted mean peak method was used for guillemot.
- 1.4.4.4 This involved calculating the mean of the three-component bio-season peaks (postbreeding, migration free winter, and return migration) which contribute to the non-breeding bio-season for year one and year two separately, factoring in the number of months which contribute to each component bio-season peak, and then calculating the mean of these two weighted abundances as outlined in the equation below:

$$\frac{\left(\left(\text{Year l} \frac{\sum(\text{PBr} \star t_{\text{PBr}}, \text{W} \star t_{\text{W}}, \text{M} \star t_{\text{M}})}{\sum t_{\text{PBr}}, t_{\text{W}}, t_{\text{M}}}\right) + \left(\text{Year 2} \frac{\sum(\text{PBr} \star t_{\text{PBr}}, \text{W} \star t_{\text{W}}, \text{M} \star t_{\text{M}})}{\sum t_{\text{PBr}}, t_{\text{W}}, t_{\text{M}}}\right)}{2}$$

Where:

PBr = predicted abundance in the post-breeding bio-season W = predicted abundance in the migration-free winter bio-season M = predicted abundance in the return migration bio-season  $t_{PBr}$  = number of component months contributing to the post-breeding bio-season  $t_w$  = number of component months contributing to the migration-free winter bio-season  $t_M$  = number of component months contributing to the return migration bio-season

#### 1.5 Data Limitations

1.5.1.1 The data within this report for gannet, guillemot, razorbill and puffin are reliant upon site-specific aerial digital video surveys undertaken over the Hornsea Four AfL and a 4 km buffer surrounding it for a period of 24 months, collected between April 2016 to March 2018, inclusive. These data are considered to be the most reliable source for characterising the baseline environment for offshore ornithology. However, using these data to characterise the abundance for each species within individual bio-seasons (as described in Section 1.6) is subject to interpretation, given variation in migratory movements between species and between years, the age classification of birds within each bio-season, connectivity to breeding colonies and other factors. Therefore, these data may be used for the assessments accompanying the DCO application (i.e. within the ES Chapter and the Report to Inform





Appropriate Assessment (RIAA)) in differing manners, depending upon additional factors considered when assessing the potential impacts and / or effects of displacement on these species.

1.5.1.2 The data within this report for red-throated diver within the offshore ECC are reliant upon the underlying dataset of the SeaMaST project described in Bradbury et al. (2014) and benchmarked against data from aerial digital surveys (APEM 2013; as described in paragraph 1.4.3.2). Although this benchmarking approach is agreed by Natural England as the most appropriate method for this purpose through the EP process (OFF-ORN-2.25, B1.1.1: Evidence Plan (APP-167)) and provides a precautionary approach, the limitations of these data are that they are two modelled datasets, and therefore predictions rather than empirical counts. However, these data are agreed as fit for the purpose of generic displacement assessments within offshore ECCs, ensuring a consistent approach across OWF impact assessments (OFF-ORN-2.25, B1.1.1: Evidence Plan (APP-167)).

#### 1.6 Presentation of Displacement for each Bio-Season

- 1.6.1.1 In order to provide a more visual approach to presenting data on the species considered for disturbance and displacement within the tables contained in this report, colour-coding has been used to represent different bio-seasons. For each species, the months defining each bio-season are different, based upon Furness (2015) and site-specific data presented in Annex 5.1: Offshore and Intertidal Ornithology Baseline Characterisation Report (APP-074).
- 1.6.1.2 The colours used to define the six main bio-seasons are presented in Table 1.

Bio-season	Red-throated diver	Gannet	Guillemot	Razorbill	Puffin
Return Migration (Green)	N/A	Dec – Mar	Dec – Mar N/A Ja		N/A
Migration-free Breeding (Purple)	N/A	Apr – Aug	N/A	Apr – Jul	N/A
Post-breeding Migration (Red)	N/A	Sept – Nov	N/A	Aug – Oct	N/A
Migration-free Winter (Grey/Blue)	Dec - Jan	N/A	N/A	Nov – Dec	N/A
Breeding (Brown)	N/A	N/A	Mar - Jul	N/A	Apr - Jul
Non-breeding (Yellow)	N/A	N/A	Aug – Feb	N/A	Aug – Mar

#### Table 1: Bio-season colour coding.

1.6.1.3 As described in Section 1.3, the data used to underpin abundance and density estimates for gannet and the three auk species (guillemot, razorbill and puffin) were collected through 24 months of aerial digital video surveys completed for the Hornsea Four AfL plus an area that extended 4 km around this area. For displacement analysis, gannets recorded as either sitting or flying were included, whilst for guillemot, razorbill and puffin only sitting birds were included, given the species foraging behaviours. As described above, estimated abundance / density for red-throated diver within the offshore ECC were from an alternate source agreed as appropriate with Natural England and the RSPB (OFF-ORN-2.25, B1.1.1: Evidence Plan (APP-167)). Bio-season mean peak abundance and density estimates are presented in Table 2.





1.6.1.4 Species-specific displacement matrices for the areas described in Section 1.3 covering separate bio-seasons for each of the five species are presented in Sections 2 to 6 of this document.



Table 2: Bio-season mean peak abundance and density estimates of key bird species for Hornsea Four disturbance and displacement assessment (all behaviours). Data highlighted in bold represent the bio-season with peak abundance for each species.

		Red-throated diver		Gannet		Guille	Razorbill		Puffin		
Bio-season	Survey Area	Abundance estimate	Density estimate (birds / km <sup>2</sup> )	Abundance estimate	Density estimate (birds / km <sup>2</sup> )	Abundance estimate	Density estimate (birds / km²)	Abundance estimate	Density estimate (birds / km <sup>2</sup> )	Abundance estimate	Density estimate (birds / km²)
Datum	Array Area			163	0.35	N/A	N/A	265	0.56	N/A	N/A
Return Migration	Array & 2 km Buffer	N/A		235	0.35	N/A	N/A	410	0.61	N/A	N/A
Migration-	Array Area			549	1.17	N/A	N/A	219	0.47	N/A	N/A
free Breeding	Array & 2 km Buffer	N/A		791	1.18	N/A	N/A	331	0.50	N/A	N/A
Post-	Array Area	N/A		593	1.26	N/A	N/A	2,367	5.03	N/A	N/A
breeding Migration	Array & 2km Buffer			854	1.28	N/A	N/A	3,590	5.38	N/A	N/A
	Array Area	N/A		N/A		N/A	N/A	331	0.70	N/A	N/A
Migration- free Winter	Array & 2 km Buffer					N/A	N/A	517	0.77	N/A	N/A
	ECC & 2 km Buffer	2-3	0.004 - 0.005			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Array Area					5,855	12.45			112	0.24
Breeding	Array & 2 km Buffer	N/A		N/A		9,080	13.61	N/A		165	0.25
Non-	Array Area					11,205*	23.83*	N/A		245	0.52
Non- breeding	Array & 2 km Buffer	N//	4	N/	A	17,145*	25.69*			353	0.53

\*Table Note: Bio-season abundance and density estimates for guillemot represent weighted-mean peaks as described in Section 1.4.4.

#### 2 Relative Change in Auk Abundance

2.1.1.1 In order to understand what difference the inclusion of all behaviours for the three auk species has had on the bio-season abundance estimates **Table 3** provides a comparison between the DCO Application seasonal abundance estimates and the revised abundances within this annex.



#### Table 3: Change in seasonal abundance for auk species when considering sitting only and all behaviours

		Guillemot				Razorbill		Puffin			
Bio-season	Survey Area	Sitting only abundance estimate	All behaviours abundance estimate	Change in abundance (%)	Sitting only abundance estimate	All behaviours abundance estimate	Change in abundance (%)	Sitting only abundance estimate	All behaviours abundance estimate	Change in abundance (%)	
-	Array Area	N/A	N/A	N/A	234	265	13.25	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Return Migration	Array & 2 km Buffer	N/A	N/A	N/A	371	410	10.51	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Migration-	Array Area	N/A	N/A	N/A	192	219	14.06	N/A	N/A	N/A	
free Breeding	Array & 2 km Buffer	N/A	N/A	N/A	276	331	19.93	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Post-	Array Area	N/A	N/A	N/A	2,367	2,367	0.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	
breeding Migration	Array & 2km Buffer	N/A	N/A	N/A	3,590	3,590	0.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Array Area	N/A	N/A	N/A	305	331	8.52	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Migration- free	Array & 2 km Buffer	N/A	N/A	N/A	474	517	9.07	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Winter	ECC & 2 km Buffer	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Array Area	5,479	5,855	6.86			N/A	104	112	7.69	
Breeding	Array & 2 km Buffer	8,553	9,080	6.16	N	/A	N/A	153	165	7.84	
Non-	Array Area	11,150	11,205	0.49			N/A	245	245	0.00	
Non- breeding	Array & 2 km Buffer	17,062	17,145	0.49	N/A		N/A	353	353	0.00	



#### 3 Red-Throated Diver Displacement Matrices

Table 4: Displacement matrix presenting the minimum number of red-throated divers in the ECC plus a 2 km buffer surrounding the cable laying vessel only, during the migration-free winter bio-season.

Displacement	Mortali	ty Rates	(%)													
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2
80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2



Table 5: Displacement matrix presenting the maximum number of red-throated divers in the offshore ECC plus a 2 km buffer only surrounding the cable laying vessel only, during the migration-free winter bio-season.

Displacement	Mortal	ity Rates	(%)													
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2
70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3
100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3



#### 4 Gannet Displacement Matrices

Table 6: Displacement matrix presenting the number of gannets (all behaviours) in the array area only, during the return migration bio-season.

Displacement	Morto	ılity Ra	tes (%)													
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
10	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	5	7	8	10	11	13	15	16
20	0	0	1	1	1	2	3	7	10	13	16	20	23	26	29	33
30	0	0	1	1	2	2	5	10	15	20	24	29	34	39	44	49
40	0	1	1	2	3	3	7	13	20	26	33	39	46	52	59	65
50	0	1	2	2	3	4	8	16	24	33	41	49	57	65	73	82
60	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	29	39	49	59	69	78	88	98
70	0	1	2	3	5	6	11	23	34	46	57	69	80	91	103	114
80	0	1	3	4	5	7	13	26	39	52	65	78	91	105	118	131
90	0	1	3	4	6	7	15	29	44	59	73	88	103	118	132	147
100	0	2	3	5	7	8	16	33	49	65	82	98	114	131	147	163



Table 7: Displacement matrix presenting the number of gannets (all behaviours) in the array area plus 2 km buffer, during the return migration bio-season.

Displacement	Morto	ility Rat	tes (%)													
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
10	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	5	7	9	12	14	16	19	21	24
20	0	0	1	1	2	2	5	9	14	19	24	28	33	38	42	47
30	0	1	1	2	3	4	7	14	21	28	35	42	49	56	64	71
40	0	1	2	3	4	5	9	19	28	38	47	56	66	75	85	94
50	0	1	2	4	5	6	12	24	35	47	59	71	82	94	106	118
60	0	1	3	4	6	7	14	28	42	56	71	85	99	113	127	141
70	0	2	3	5	7	8	16	33	49	66	82	99	115	132	148	165
80	0	2	4	6	8	9	19	38	56	75	94	113	132	151	169	188
90	0	2	4	6	8	11	21	42	64	85	106	127	148	169	191	212
100	0	2	5	7	9	12	24	47	71	94	118	141	165	188	212	235



Table 8: Displacement matrix presenting the number of gannets (all behaviours) in the array area only, during the migration-free breeding bio-season.

Displacement	Mor	rtality F	ates (%)													
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5
10	0	1	1	2	2	3	5	11	16	22	27	33	38	44	49	55
20	0	1	2	3	4	5	11	22	33	44	55	66	77	88	99	110
30	0	2	3	5	7	8	16	33	49	66	82	99	115	132	148	165
40	0	2	4	7	9	11	22	44	66	88	110	132	154	176	198	219
50	0	3	5	8	11	14	27	55	82	110	137	165	192	219	247	274
60	0	3	7	10	13	16	33	66	99	132	165	198	230	263	296	329
70	0	4	8	12	15	19	38	77	115	154	192	230	269	307	346	384
80	0	4	9	13	18	22	44	88	132	176	219	263	307	351	395	439
90	0	5	10	15	20	25	49	99	148	198	247	296	346	395	444	494
100	0	5	11	16	22	27	55	110	165	219	274	329	384	439	494	549



Table 9: Displacement matrix presenting the number of gannets (all behaviours) in the array area plus 2 km buffer, during the migration-free breeding bioseason.

Displacement	Мо	rtality F	Rates (%)													
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	6	7	8
10	0	1	2	2	3	4	8	16	24	32	40	47	55	63	71	79
20	0	2	3	5	6	8	16	32	47	63	79	95	111	127	142	158
30	0	2	5	7	9	12	24	47	71	95	119	142	166	190	214	237
40	0	3	6	9	13	16	32	63	95	127	158	190	221	253	285	316
50	0	4	8	12	16	20	40	79	119	158	198	237	277	316	356	395
60	0	5	9	14	19	24	47	95	142	190	237	285	332	380	427	474
70	0	6	11	17	22	28	55	111	166	221	277	332	387	443	498	554
80	0	6	13	19	25	32	63	127	190	253	316	380	443	506	569	633
90	0	7	14	21	28	36	71	142	214	285	356	427	498	569	641	712
100	0	8	16	24	32	40	79	158	237	316	395	474	554	633	712	791



Table 10: Displacement matrix presenting the number of gannets (all behaviours) in the array area only, during the post-breeding migration bio-season.

	Mortali	ty Rate	s (%)													
Displacement (%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	3	4	4	5	5	6
10	0	1	1	2	2	3	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	47	53	59
20	0	1	2	4	5	6	12	24	36	47	59	71	83	95	107	119
30	0	2	4	5	7	9	18	36	53	71	89	107	125	142	160	178
40	0	2	5	7	9	12	24	47	71	95	119	142	166	190	213	237
50	0	3	6	9	12	15	30	59	89	119	148	178	208	237	267	296
60	0	4	7	11	14	18	36	71	107	142	178	213	249	285	320	356
70	0	4	8	12	17	21	42	83	125	166	208	249	291	332	374	415
80	0	5	9	14	19	24	47	95	142	190	237	285	332	379	427	474
90	0	5	11	16	21	27	53	107	160	213	267	320	374	427	480	534
100	0	6	12	18	24	30	59	119	178	237	296	356	415	474	534	593



Table 11: Displacement matrix presenting the number of gannets (all behaviours) in the array area plus 2 km buffer, during the post-breeding migration bioseason.

	Mortali	ty Rate	s (%)													
Displacement (%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	0	1	2	3	3	4	9	17	26	34	43	51	60	68	77	85
20	0	2	3	5	7	9	17	34	51	68	85	103	120	137	154	171
30	0	3	5	8	10	13	26	51	77	103	128	154	179	205	231	256
40	0	3	7	10	14	17	34	68	103	137	171	205	239	273	308	342
50	0	4	9	13	17	21	43	85	128	171	214	256	299	342	384	427
60	0	5	10	15	21	26	51	103	154	205	256	308	359	410	461	513
70	0	6	12	18	24	30	60	120	179	239	299	359	419	478	538	598
80	0	7	14	21	27	34	68	137	205	273	342	410	478	547	615	684
90	0	8	15	23	31	38	77	154	231	308	384	461	538	615	692	769
100	0	9	17	26	34	43	85	171	256	342	427	513	598	684	769	854



#### 5 Guillemot Displacement Matrices

Table 12: Displacement matrix presenting the number of guillemots (all behaviours) in the array area only, during the breeding bio-season.

Displacement	Мо	rtalit	y Rates	; (%)												
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	1	2	2	3	6	12	18	23	29	35	41	47	53	59
10	0	6	12	18	23	29	59	117	176	234	293	351	410	468	527	586
20	0	12	23	35	47	59	117	234	351	468	586	703	820	937	1,054	1,171
30	0	18	35	53	70	88	176	351	527	703	878	1,054	1,230	1,405	1,581	1,757
40	0	23	47	70	94	117	234	468	703	937	1,171	1,405	1,640	1,874	2,108	2,342
50	0	29	59	88	117	146	293	586	878	1,171	1,464	1,757	2,049	2,342	2,635	2,928
60	0	35	70	105	141	176	351	703	1,054	1,405	1,757	2,108	2,459	2,811	3,162	3,513
70	0	41	82	123	164	205	410	820	1,230	1,640	2,049	2,459	2,869	3,279	3,689	4,099
80	0	47	94	141	187	234	468	937	1,405	1,874	2,342	2,811	3,279	3,747	4,216	4,684
90	0	53	105	158	211	263	527	1,054	1,581	2,108	2,635	3,162	3,689	4,216	4,743	5,270
100	0	59	117	176	234	293	586	1,171	1,757	2,342	2,928	3,513	4,099	4,684	5,270	5,855



#### Table 13: Displacement matrix presenting the number of guillemots (all behaviours) in the array area plus 2 km buffer, during the breeding bio-season.

Displacement	Mo	ortalit	y Rates	s (%)												
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4	5	9	18	27	36	45	54	64	73	82	91
10	0	9	18	27	36	45	91	182	272	363	454	545	636	726	817	908
20	0	18	36	54	73	91	182	363	545	726	908	1,090	1,271	1,453	1,634	1,816
30	0	27	54	82	109	136	272	545	817	1,090	1,362	1,634	1,907	2,179	2,452	2,724
40	0	36	73	109	145	182	363	726	1,090	1,453	1,816	2,179	2,542	2,906	3,269	3,632
50	0	45	91	136	182	227	454	908	1,362	1,816	2,270	2,724	3,178	3,632	4,086	4,540
60	0	54	109	163	218	272	545	1,090	1,634	2,179	2,724	3,269	3,814	4,358	4,903	5,448
70	0	64	127	191	254	318	636	1,271	1,907	2,542	3,178	3,814	4,449	5,085	5,720	6,356
80	0	73	145	218	291	363	726	1,453	2,179	2,906	3,632	4,358	5,085	5,811	6,538	7,264
90	0	82	163	245	327	409	817	1,634	2,452	3,269	4,086	4,903	5,720	6,538	7,355	8,172
100	0	91	182	272	363	454	908	1,816	2,724	3,632	4,540	5,448	6,356	7,264	8,172	9,080



Table 14: Displacement matrix presenting the number of guillemots (all behaviours) in the array area only, during the non-breeding bio-season.

Displacement	Mc	ortalit	y Rate:	s (%)												
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4	6	11	22	34	45	56	67	78	90	101	112
10	0	11	22	34	45	56	112	224	336	448	560	672	784	896	1,008	1,121
20	0	22	45	67	90	112	224	448	672	896	1,121	1,345	1,569	1,793	2,017	2,241
30	0	34	67	101	134	168	336	672	1,008	1,345	1,681	2,017	2,353	2,689	3,025	3,362
40	0	45	90	134	179	224	448	896	1,345	1,793	2,241	2,689	3,137	3,586	4,034	4,482
50	0	56	112	168	224	280	560	1,121	1,681	2,241	2,801	3,362	3,922	4,482	5,042	5,603
60	0	67	134	202	269	336	672	1,345	2,017	2,689	3,362	4,034	4,706	5,378	6,051	6,723
70	0	78	157	235	314	392	784	1,569	2,353	3,137	3,922	4,706	5,490	6,275	7,059	7,844
80	0	90	179	269	359	448	896	1,793	2,689	3,586	4,482	5,378	6,275	7,171	8,068	8,964
90	0	101	202	303	403	504	1,008	2,017	3,025	4,034	5,042	6,051	7,059	8,068	9,076	10,085
100	0	112	224	336	448	560	1,121	2,241	3,362	4,482	5,603	6,723	7,844	8,964	10,085	11,205



Table 15: Displacement matrix presenting the number of guillemots (all behaviours) in the array area plus 2 km buffer, during the non-breeding bio-season.

	Mort	ality Rat	es (%)													
Displacement (%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	2	3	5	7	9	17	34	51	69	86	103	120	137	154	171
10	0	17	34	51	69	86	171	343	514	686	857	1,029	1,200	1,372	1,543	1,714
20	0	34	69	103	137	171	343	686	1,029	1,372	1,714	2,057	2,400	2,743	3,086	3,429
30	0	51	103	154	206	257	514	1,029	1,543	2,057	2,572	3,086	3,600	4,115	4,629	5,143
40	0	69	137	206	274	343	686	1,372	2,057	2,743	3,429	4,115	4,801	5,486	6,172	6,858
50	0	86	171	257	343	429	857	1,714	2,572	3,429	4,286	5,143	6,001	6,858	7,715	8,572
60	0	103	206	309	411	514	1,029	2,057	3,086	4,115	5,143	6,172	7,201	8,229	9,258	10,287
70	0	120	240	360	480	600	1,200	2,400	3,600	4,801	6,001	7,201	8,401	9,601	10,801	12,001
80	0	137	274	411	549	686	1,372	2,743	4,115	5,486	6,858	8,229	9,601	10,973	12,344	13,716
90	0	154	309	463	617	772	1,543	3,086	4,629	6,172	7,715	9,258	10,801	12,344	13,887	15,430
100	0	171	343	514	686	857	1,714	3,429	5,143	6,858	8,572	10,287	12,001	13,716	15,430	17,145



#### 6 Razorbill Displacement Matrices

Table 16: Displacement matrix presenting the number of razorbills (all behaviours) in the array area only, during the return migration bio-season.

Displacement	Morta	ılity Rat	:es (%)													
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3
10	0	0	1	1	1	1	3	5	8	11	13	16	19	21	24	26
20	0	1	1	2	2	3	5	11	16	21	26	32	37	42	48	53
30	0	1	2	2	3	4	8	16	24	32	40	48	56	64	72	79
40	0	1	2	3	4	5	11	21	32	42	53	64	74	85	95	106
50	0	1	3	4	5	7	13	26	40	53	66	79	93	106	119	132
60	0	2	3	5	6	8	16	32	48	64	79	95	111	127	143	159
70	0	2	4	6	7	9	19	37	56	74	93	111	130	148	167	185
80	0	2	4	6	8	11	21	42	64	85	106	127	148	169	191	212
90	0	2	5	7	10	12	24	48	72	95	119	143	167	191	215	238
100	0	3	5	8	11	13	26	53	79	106	132	159	185	212	238	265



Table 17: Displacement matrix presenting the number of razorbills (all behaviours) in the array area plus 2 km buffer, during the return migration bio-season.

Displacement	Morte	ality F	Rates (%	6)												
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	4	4
10	0	0	1	1	2	2	4	8	12	16	21	25	29	33	37	41
20	0	1	2	2	3	4	8	16	25	33	41	49	57	66	74	82
30	0	1	2	4	5	6	12	25	37	49	62	74	86	98	111	123
40	0	2	3	5	7	8	16	33	49	66	82	98	115	131	148	164
50	0	2	4	6	8	10	21	41	62	82	103	123	144	164	185	205
60	0	2	5	7	10	12	25	49	74	98	123	148	172	197	222	246
70	0	3	6	9	11	14	29	57	86	115	144	172	201	230	258	287
80	0	3	7	10	13	16	33	66	98	131	164	197	230	263	295	328
90	0	4	7	11	15	18	37	74	111	148	185	222	258	295	332	369
100	0	4	8	12	16	21	41	82	123	164	205	246	287	328	369	410



Table 18: Displacement matrix presenting the number of razorbills (all behaviours) in the array area only, during the migration-free breeding bio-season.

D:	Morta	ity Rates	s (%)													
Displacement (%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
10	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	4	7	9	11	13	15	18	20	22
20	0	0	1	1	2	2	4	9	13	18	22	26	31	35	39	44
30	0	1	1	2	3	3	7	13	20	26	33	39	46	53	59	66
40	0	1	2	3	4	4	9	18	26	35	44	53	61	70	79	88
50	0	1	2	3	4	5	11	22	33	44	55	66	77	88	99	110
60	0	1	3	4	5	7	13	26	39	53	66	79	92	105	118	132
70	0	2	3	5	6	8	15	31	46	61	77	92	107	123	138	153
80	0	2	4	5	7	9	18	35	53	70	88	105	123	140	158	175
90	0	2	4	6	8	10	20	39	59	79	99	118	138	158	178	197
100	0	2	4	7	9	11	22	44	66	88	110	132	153	175	197	219



Table 19: Displacement matrix presenting the number of razorbills (all behaviours) in the array area plus 2 km buffer, during the migration-free breeding bioseason.

Disal assessed (9()	Mor	tality	Rates	s (%)												
Displacement (%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3
10	0	0	1	1	1	2	3	7	10	13	17	20	23	27	30	33
20	0	1	1	2	3	3	7	13	20	27	33	40	46	53	60	66
30	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	89	99
40	0	1	3	4	5	7	13	27	40	53	66	80	93	106	119	133
50	0	2	3	5	7	8	17	33	50	66	83	99	116	133	149	166
60	0	2	4	6	8	10	20	40	60	80	99	119	139	159	179	199
70	0	2	5	7	9	12	23	46	70	93	116	139	162	186	209	232
80	0	3	5	8	11	13	27	53	80	106	133	159	186	212	239	265
90	0	3	6	9	12	15	30	60	89	119	149	179	209	239	268	298
100	0	3	7	10	13	17	33	66	99	133	166	199	232	265	298	331



Table 20: Displacement matrix presenting the number of razorbills (all behaviours) in the array area only, during the post-breeding migration bio-season.

	Mor	tality	Rates	(%)												
Displacement (%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	5	7	9	12	14	17	19	21	24
10	0	2	5	7	9	12	24	47	71	95	118	142	166	189	213	237
20	0	5	9	14	19	24	47	95	142	189	237	284	331	379	426	473
30	0	7	14	21	28	36	71	142	213	284	355	426	497	568	639	710
40	0	9	19	28	38	47	95	189	284	379	473	568	663	757	852	947
50	0	12	24	36	47	59	118	237	355	473	592	710	828	947	1,065	1,183
60	0	14	28	43	57	71	142	284	426	568	710	852	994	1,136	1,278	1,420
70	0	17	33	50	66	83	166	331	497	663	828	994	1,160	1,325	1,491	1,657
80	0	19	38	57	76	95	189	379	568	757	947	1,136	1,325	1,515	1,704	1,893
90	0	21	43	64	85	107	213	426	639	852	1,065	1,278	1,491	1,704	1,917	2,130
100	0	24	47	71	95	118	237	473	710	947	1,183	1,420	1,657	1,893	2,130	2,367



Table 21: Displacement matrix presenting the number of razorbills (all behaviours) in the array area plus 2 km buffer, during the post-breeding migration bioseason.

Displacement	Mor	rtality Ra	tes (%)													
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	1	2	4	7	11	14	18	22	25	29	32	36
10	0	4	7	11	14	18	36	72	108	144	179	215	251	287	323	359
20	0	7	14	22	29	36	72	144	215	287	359	431	503	574	646	718
30	0	11	22	32	43	54	108	215	323	431	538	646	754	862	969	1,077
40	0	14	29	43	57	72	144	287	431	574	718	862	1,005	1,149	1,292	1,436
50	0	18	36	54	72	90	179	359	538	718	897	1,077	1,256	1,436	1,615	1,795
60	0	22	43	65	86	108	215	431	646	862	1,077	1,292	1,508	1,723	1,939	2,154
70	0	25	50	75	101	126	251	503	754	1,005	1,256	1,508	1,759	2,010	2,262	2,513
80	0	29	57	86	115	144	287	574	862	1,149	1,436	1,723	2,010	2,298	2,585	2,872
90	0	32	65	97	129	162	323	646	969	1,292	1,615	1,939	2,262	2,585	2,908	3,231
100	0	36	72	108	144	179	359	718	1,077	1,436	1,795	2,154	2,513	2,872	3,231	3,590



Table 22: Displacement matrix presenting the number of razorbills (all behaviours) in the array area only, during the migration-free winter bio-season.

	Mort	ality F	Rates (	%)												
Displacement (%)	ο	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3
10	0	0	1	1	1	2	3	7	10	13	17	20	23	26	30	33
20	0	1	1	2	3	3	7	13	20	26	33	40	46	53	60	66
30	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	69	79	89	99
40	0	1	3	4	5	7	13	26	40	53	66	79	93	106	119	132
50	0	2	3	5	7	8	17	33	50	66	83	99	116	132	149	165
60	0	2	4	6	8	10	20	40	60	79	99	119	139	159	179	198
70	0	2	5	7	9	12	23	46	69	93	116	139	162	185	208	231
80	0	3	5	8	11	13	26	53	79	106	132	159	185	212	238	264
90	0	3	6	9	12	15	30	60	89	119	149	179	208	238	268	298
100	0	3	7	10	13	17	33	66	99	132	165	198	231	264	298	331



Table 23: Displacement matrix presenting the number of razorbills (all behaviours) in the array area plus 2 km buffer, during the migration-free winter bioseason.

Displacement	Mor	tality	Rates (	%)												
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5
10	0	1	1	2	2	3	5	10	16	21	26	31	36	41	47	52
20	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	21	31	41	52	62	72	83	93	103
30	0	2	3	5	6	8	16	31	47	62	78	93	109	124	140	155
40	0	2	4	6	8	10	21	41	62	83	103	124	145	165	186	207
50	0	3	5	8	10	13	26	52	78	103	129	155	181	207	233	259
60	0	3	6	9	12	16	31	62	93	124	155	186	217	248	279	310
70	0	4	7	11	14	18	36	72	109	145	181	217	253	290	326	362
80	0	4	8	12	17	21	41	83	124	165	207	248	290	331	372	414
90	0	5	9	14	19	23	47	93	140	186	233	279	326	372	419	465
100	0	5	10	16	21	26	52	103	155	207	259	310	362	414	465	517



#### 7 Puffin Displacement Matrices

Table 24: Displacement matrix presenting the number of puffins (all behaviours) in the array area only, during the breeding bio-season.

Displacement	Мо	ortalit	y Rates	s (%)												
(%)	ο	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
10	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	4	6	7	8	9	10	11
20	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	4	7	9	11	13	16	18	20	22
30	0	0	1	1	1	2	3	7	10	13	17	20	24	27	30	34
40	0	0	1	1	2	2	4	9	13	18	22	27	31	36	40	45
50	0	1	1	2	2	3	6	11	17	22	28	34	39	45	51	56
60	0	1	1	2	3	3	7	13	20	27	34	40	47	54	61	67
70	0	1	2	2	3	4	8	16	24	31	39	47	55	63	71	79
80	0	1	2	3	4	4	9	18	27	36	45	54	63	72	81	90
90	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	51	61	71	81	91	101
100	0	1	2	3	4	6	11	22	34	45	56	67	79	90	101	112



Table 25: Displacement matrix presenting the number of puffins (all behaviours) in the array area plus 2 km buffer, during the breeding bio-season.

Displacement	Мо	rtalit	y Rates	; (%)												
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
10	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	5	7	8	10	12	13	15	17
20	0	0	1	1	1	2	3	7	10	13	17	20	23	26	30	33
30	0	0	1	1	2	2	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
40	0	1	1	2	3	3	7	13	20	26	33	40	46	53	59	66
50	0	1	2	2	3	4	8	17	25	33	41	50	58	66	74	83
60	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	59	69	79	89	99
70	0	1	2	3	5	6	12	23	35	46	58	69	81	93	104	116
80	0	1	3	4	5	7	13	26	40	53	66	79	93	106	119	132
90	0	1	3	4	6	7	15	30	45	59	74	89	104	119	134	149
100	0	2	3	5	7	8	17	33	50	66	83	99	116	132	149	165



Table 26: Displacement matrix presenting the number of puffins (all behaviours) in the array area only, during the non-breeding bio-season.

	Mortal	ity Rates	; (%)													
Displacement (%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
10	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	5	7	10	12	15	17	20	22	24
20	0	0	1	1	2	2	5	10	15	20	24	29	34	39	44	49
30	0	1	1	2	3	4	7	15	22	29	37	44	51	59	66	73
40	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	29	39	49	59	68	78	88	98
50	0	1	2	4	5	6	12	24	37	49	61	73	86	98	110	122
60	0	1	3	4	6	7	15	29	44	59	73	88	103	117	132	147
70	0	2	3	5	7	9	17	34	51	68	86	103	120	137	154	171
80	0	2	4	6	8	10	20	39	59	78	98	117	137	157	176	196
90	0	2	4	7	9	11	22	44	66	88	110	132	154	176	198	220
100	0	2	5	7	10	12	24	49	73	98	122	147	171	196	220	245



Table 27: Displacement matrix presenting the number of puffins (all behaviours) in the array area plus 2 km buffer, during the non-breeding bio-season.

	Mor	tality	Rate	s (%)												
Displacement (%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	4
10	0	0	1	1	1	2	4	7	11	14	18	21	25	28	32	35
20	0	1	1	2	3	4	7	14	21	28	35	42	49	56	64	71
30	0	1	2	3	4	5	11	21	32	42	53	64	74	85	95	106
40	0	1	3	4	6	7	14	28	42	56	71	85	99	113	127	141
50	0	2	4	5	7	9	18	35	53	71	88	106	123	141	159	176
60	0	2	4	6	8	11	21	42	64	85	106	127	148	169	191	212
70	0	2	5	7	10	12	25	49	74	99	123	148	173	198	222	247
80	0	3	6	8	11	14	28	56	85	113	141	169	198	226	254	282
90	0	3	6	10	13	16	32	64	95	127	159	191	222	254	286	318
100	0	4	7	11	14	18	35	71	106	141	176	212	247	282	318	353



#### 8 References

APEM (2013). Aerial bird surveys in the Outer Thames Estuary SPA. APEM Scientific Report for Natural England, July 2013, Final 67pp.

Bradbury, G., Trinder, M., Furness, B., Banks, A.N., Caldow, R.W.G. and Hume, D. (2014). Mapping Seabird Sensitivity to Offshore Wind Farms. PLoS ONE 9(9) e106366. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106366.

Dunn, R., Wanless, S., Daunt, F., Harris, M. & Green, J. (2020). A year in the life of a North Atlantic seabird: behavioural and energetic adjustments during the annual cycle. Scientific Reports. 10. 1-11. 10.1038/s41598-020-62842-x.

Furness, R.W. (2015). Non-breeding season populations of seabirds in UK waters; Population sizes for Biologically Defined Minimum Population Scales (BDMPS). Natural England Commissioned Reports, Number 164.

Goodship, N. & Caldow, R. & Clough, S. & Korda, R. & Mcgovern, Stephanie & Rowlands, N. & Rehfisch, Mark. (2015). Surveys of red-throated divers in the outer Thames estuary SPA. British Birds. 108. 506-513.

Lawson J., Kober, K., Win, I., Allcock, Z., Black, J., Reid, J.B., Way, L. & O'Brien, S.H., (2015), An assessment of the numbers and distributions of wintering red-throated diver, little gull and common scoter in the Greater Wash, JNCC Report 574, ISSN 0963-8901

Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies (2017). Advice on how to present assessment information on the extent and potential consequences of seabird displacement from Offshore Wind Farm (OWF) developments.

van Katwijk, Q. & Camphuysen, Cornelis. (1993). Post-breeding dispersal of Guillemots Uria aalge in the North Sea, late summer 1993. Sula. 133-140.



#### Appendix A: Guillemot displacement matrices using the mean peak abundance estimates

Table A 1: Displacement matrix presenting the number of guillemots (all behaviours) in the array area only, during the breeding bio-season based on the mean peak abundance.

Displacement	Мо	rtalit	y Rates	s (%)												
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	1	2	2	3	6	12	18	23	29	35	41	47	53	59
10	0	6	12	18	23	29	59	117	176	234	293	351	410	468	527	586
20	0	12	23	35	47	59	117	234	351	468	586	703	820	937	1,054	1,171
30	0	18	35	53	70	88	176	351	527	703	878	1,054	1,230	1,405	1,581	1,757
40	0	23	47	70	94	117	234	468	703	937	1,171	1,405	1,640	1,874	2,108	2,342
50	0	29	59	88	117	146	293	586	878	1,171	1,464	1,757	2,049	2,342	2,635	2,928
60	0	35	70	105	141	176	351	703	1,054	1,405	1,757	2,108	2,459	2,811	3,162	3,513
70	0	41	82	123	164	205	410	820	1,230	1,640	2,049	2,459	2,869	3,279	3,689	4,099
80	0	47	94	141	187	234	468	937	1,405	1,874	2,342	2,811	3,279	3,747	4,216	4,684
90	0	53	105	158	211	263	527	1,054	1,581	2,108	2,635	3,162	3,689	4,216	4,743	5,270
100	0	59	117	176	234	293	586	1,171	1,757	2,342	2,928	3,513	4,099	4,684	5,270	5,855



Table A 2: Displacement matrix presenting the number of guillemots (all behaviours) in the array area plus 2 km buffer, during the breeding bio-season based on the mean peak abundance.

Displacement	Мо	ortalit	y Rates	s (%)												
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4	5	9	18	27	36	45	54	64	73	82	91
10	0	9	18	27	36	45	91	182	272	363	454	545	636	726	817	908
20	0	18	36	54	73	91	182	363	545	726	908	1,090	1,271	1,453	1,634	1,816
30	0	27	54	82	109	136	272	545	817	1,090	1,362	1,634	1,907	2,179	2,452	2,724
40	0	36	73	109	145	182	363	726	1,090	1,453	1,816	2,179	2,542	2,906	3,269	3,632
50	0	45	91	136	182	227	454	908	1,362	1,816	2,270	2,724	3,178	3,632	4,086	4,540
60	0	54	109	163	218	272	545	1,090	1,634	2,179	2,724	3,269	3,814	4,358	4,903	5,448
70	0	64	127	191	254	318	636	1,271	1,907	2,542	3,178	3,814	4,449	5,085	5,720	6,356
80	0	73	145	218	291	363	726	1,453	2,179	2,906	3,632	4,358	5,085	5,811	6,538	7,264
90	0	82	163	245	327	409	817	1,634	2,452	3,269	4,086	4,903	5,720	6,538	7,355	8,172
100	0	91	182	272	363	454	908	1,816	2,724	3,632	4,540	5,448	6,356	7,264	8,172	9,080



Table A 3: Displacement matrix presenting the number of guillemots (all behaviours) in the array area only, during the non-breeding bio-season based on the mean peak abundance.

Displacement	Mo	ortalit	y Rate:	s (%)												
(%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	2	4	6	9	11	22	43	65	86	108	129	151	172	194	215
10	0	22	43	65	86	108	215	430	646	861	1,076	1,291	1,506	1,722	1,937	2,152
20	0	43	86	129	172	215	430	861	1,291	1,722	2,152	2,582	3,013	3,443	3,873	4,304
30	0	65	129	194	258	323	646	1,291	1,937	2,582	3,228	3,873	4,519	5,165	5,810	6,456
40	0	86	172	258	344	430	861	1,722	2,582	3,443	4,304	5,165	6,025	6,886	7,747	8,608
50	0	108	215	323	430	538	1,076	2,152	3,228	4,304	5,380	6,456	7,532	8,608	9,683	10,759
60	0	129	258	387	516	646	1,291	2,582	3,873	5,165	6,456	7,747	9,038	10,329	11,620	12,911
70	0	151	301	452	603	753	1,506	3,013	4,519	6,025	7,532	9,038	10,544	12,051	13,557	15,063
80	0	172	344	516	689	861	1,722	3,443	5,165	6,886	8,608	10,329	12,051	13,772	15,494	17,215
90	0	194	387	581	775	968	1,937	3,873	5,810	7,747	9,683	11,620	13,557	15,494	17,430	19,367
100	0	215	430	646	861	1,076	2,152	4,304	6,456	8,608	10,759	12,911	15,063	17,215	19,367	21,519



Table A 4: Displacement matrix presenting the number of guillemots (all behaviours) in the array area plus 2 km buffer, during the non-breeding bio-season based on the mean peak abundance.

	Mor	tality Rat	:es (%)													
Displacement (%)	0	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	3	7	10	13	16	33	66	99	131	164	197	230	263	296	328
10	0	33	66	99	131	164	328	657	985	1,314	1,642	1,970	2,299	2,627	2,956	3,284
20	0	66	131	197	263	328	657	1,314	1,970	2,627	3,284	3,941	4,598	5,255	5,911	6,568
30	0	99	197	296	394	493	985	1,970	2,956	3,941	4,926	5,911	6,897	7,882	8,867	9,852
40	0	131	263	394	525	657	1,314	2,627	3,941	5,255	6,568	7,882	9,196	10,509	11,823	13,136
50	0	164	328	493	657	821	1,642	3,284	4,926	6,568	8,210	9,852	11,494	13,136	14,779	16,421
60	0	197	394	591	788	985	1,970	3,941	5,911	7,882	9,852	11,823	13,793	15,764	17,734	19,705
70	0	230	460	690	920	1,149	2,299	4,598	6,897	9,196	11,494	13,793	16,092	18,391	20,690	22,989
80	0	263	525	788	1,051	1,314	2,627	5,255	7,882	10,509	13,136	15,764	18,391	21,018	23,646	26,273
90	0	296	591	887	1,182	1,478	2,956	5,911	8,867	11,823	14,779	17,734	20,690	23,646	26,601	29,557
100	0	328	657	985	1,314	1,642	3,284	6,568	9,852	13,136	16,421	19,705	22,989	26,273	29,557	32,841